

BANACH FUNCTION SPACES AND DATKO-TYPE CONDITIONS FOR NONUNIFORM EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF EVOLUTION FAMILIES

NICOLAE LUPA AND LIVIU HORIA POPESCU

ABSTRACT. For nonuniform exponentially bounded evolution families defined on Banach spaces, we introduce a class of Banach function spaces, whose norms are uniquely determined by the nonuniform behavior of the corresponding evolution family. We generalize the classical theorem of Datko on these spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

A notable result in the stability theory of ODEs lies in Datko's theorem. In order to extend a classical theorem of A.M. Lyapunov to strongly continuous semigroups of operators in Hilbert spaces, R. Datko proved that if $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a C_0 -semigroup on a complex Hilbert space X such that $\int_0^\infty \|T(t)x\|^2 dt < \infty$ for some $x \in X$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)x = 0$ (Lemma 3 in [6]). Recall that a family of bounded linear operators $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ acting on X is a C_0 -semigroup if

- (s₁) $T(0) = \text{Id}$;
- (s₂) $T(t)T(s) = T(t+s)$ for $t, s \geq 0$;
- (s₃) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} T(t)x = x$ for every $x \in X$.

Later, using distinct techniques, A. Pazy proved that if for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, the integral $\int_0^\infty \|T(t)x\|^p dt$ is finite for every $x \in X$, then $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is uniform exponentially stable, i.e. there exist $M, \alpha > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{-\alpha t}$, $t \geq 0$ (see [14] and [15, Theorem 4.1, pp. 116]). In 1972, R. Datko generalized this result for evolution families on Banach spaces [7]. In fact, it is shown that a uniform exponentially bounded evolution family $\{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X is uniform exponentially stable if and only if there exists $p \in [1, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int_t^\infty \|U(\xi, t)x\|^p d\xi < \infty, x \in X.$$

A. Ichikawa obtained in [9] a Datko-type theorem for families of nonlinear operators $U(t, s) : X_s \rightarrow X_t$, $t \geq s \geq 0$, that satisfy:

- (e₁) $U(t, t)x = x$, $x \in X_t$;
- (e₂) $U(t, \tau)U(\tau, s) = U(t, s)$ on X_s , $t \geq \tau \geq s$;
- (e₃) the map $t \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous on $[s, \infty)$ for every $x \in X_s$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34D20, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Evolution families, nonuniform exponential stability, Banach function spaces, Datko's theorem.

In fact, Ichikawa proved that if there exists a continuous function $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\|U(t, s)x\| \leq g(t-s) \|x\|$, $x \in X_s$, $t \geq s \geq 0$, then $\{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ is uniform exponentially stable if and only if there exist constants $p, K > 0$ such that

$$\int_t^\infty \|U(\xi, t)x\|^p d\xi \leq K^p \|x\|^p, \quad x \in X_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Using distinct techniques, this result has been generalized for weak exponential stability in [11]. An interesting Datko-type theorem was obtained by P. Preda and M. Megan for uniform exponential dichotomy [16]. Some nonlinear versions have been considered by B. Sasu and A.L. Sasu for both exponential dichotomy [19] and exponential trichotomy [20].

It is worth to mention that the claim in Datko's theorem can be obtained as a consequence of some results in the evolution semigroup theory (ex. [5, Theorem 3.23, pp. 74-75]).

While in the Datko-type theorems the integral is taken according to the first variable, in a similar result due to Barbashin the integral is taken with respect to the second one. Recently, P.V. Hai unified the discrete-time versions of the Datko's theorem and the Barbashin-type theorem [8].

The theory of uniform behavior for evolution equations is too restrictive; for instance one may consider examples of evolution families generated by differential equations which are not uniform exponentially bounded, but having all the orbits asymptotically stable. In the last decade the research in the field of evolution equations developed in a more general direction, which is the nonuniform behavior. We need to mention here the consistent contribution due to L. Barreira and C. Valls. A major motivation for considering a nonuniform behavior lies in the ergodic theory (we refer to [2] for a detailed exposition). Notice that C. Buş extended Datko's theorem to nonuniform exponential stability, restricting his analysis to uniform exponentially bounded evolution families [4]. Some of the most relevant generalizations of Datko's theorem in the case of nonuniform behavior can be found in works as [1, 10, 17, 18].

The aim of the present paper is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of nonuniform exponential stability of evolution families in the spirit of Datko's results. In this regard, we introduce a class of Banach function spaces for nonuniform exponentially bounded evolution families. We generalize the classical theorem of Datko on these spaces. Our results extend conclusions in some previous works, also referring to new situations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a Banach space, and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . In our paper we also make use of the following notations: $C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$, $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is the subspace of the bounded maps in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, and $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is the set of the maps in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ with compact support.

Definition 2.1. A family of operators $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is called an *evolution family* if

- (i) $U(t, t) = \text{Id}$, $t \geq 0$;
- (ii) $U(t, \tau)U(\tau, s) = U(t, s)$, $t \geq \tau \geq s \geq 0$;
- (iii) the map $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous for every $x \in X$.

An evolution family $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ is called *reversible* if $U(t, s)$ is invertible for all $t \geq s \geq 0$. In fact, in this case $\mathcal{U}$ can be extended to all of $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ by using $U(s, t) = [U(t, s)]^{-1}$. Moreover, condition (ii) holds for all $t, \tau, s \geq 0$.

The study of evolution families arose naturally from the theory of well-posed evolution equations. Recall that the linear equation $dx/dt = A(t)x$, with bounded or unbounded linear operator $A(t)$, is called *well-posed* if the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions on the initial data is guaranteed. In [13] it is shown that well-posedness is equivalent to the existence of an evolution family $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ solving the equation, precisely $U(t, t_0)x_0 = x(t, t_0, x_0)$, $t \geq t_0$, where $x(\cdot, t_0, x_0)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t), & t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \\ x(t_0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

In this paper we will always assume that an evolution family $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ is given.

Definition 2.2. For fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the evolution family $\mathcal{U}$ is called *α -nonuniform exponentially bounded* if there exists a continuous function $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq M(s)e^{\alpha(t-s)}, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

If Eq. (1) holds for some $\alpha < 0$, then $\mathcal{U}$ is called *α -nonuniform exponentially stable*. Each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1) is called an *admissible exponent* for the evolution family $\mathcal{U}$, and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ denotes the set of all admissible exponents for $\mathcal{U}$. If $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$, then the evolution family $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be *nonuniform exponentially bounded*, and if $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ contains negative admissible exponents, $\mathcal{U}$ is called *nonuniform exponentially stable*. In the above terminology, whenever there exists a bounded function $M(s)$ satisfying (1), which is equivalent to the existence of a constant one, we just replace the term “nonuniform” with “uniform”.

The following result is detailed in [12]:

Remark 2.3. Assume that the evolution family $\mathcal{U}$ is reversible. If the Lyapunov exponent

$$K_L = \inf \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } M > 0 \text{ with } \|U(t, 0)\| \leq Me^{\alpha t}, \quad t \geq 0 \}$$

is finite, then

$$(K_L, \infty) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) \subset [K_L, \infty).$$

Furthermore, if the Lyapunov exponent is attained, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ is closed at its left endpoint, that is $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) = [K_L, \infty)$.

Throughout our paper assume that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $\mathcal{U}$ is nonuniform exponentially bounded. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$, $t \geq 0$ and $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ we set

$$\varphi_\alpha(t, u) = \sup_{\tau \geq t} e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} \|U(\tau, t)u(t)\|. \quad (2)$$

If in particular $u(t) \equiv x$ for some $x \in X$, we step over the norm on X defined in [3, Eq. (5)], precisely $\|x\|_t = \sup_{\tau \geq t} e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} \|U(\tau, t)x\|$. In this regard, the map φ_α can be defined as $\varphi_\alpha(t, u) = \|u(t)\|_t$.

Let us notice that

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \varphi_\alpha(t, u) \leq M(t) \|u(t)\|. \quad (3)$$

The following result is partially proved in [12], and assures the existence of the integrals in the next section. For the sake of completeness and also for a better understanding, we prefer exposing its complete proof.

Proposition 2.4. *The function $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \varphi_\alpha(t, u) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous for each $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.*

Proof. Set $V(t, s) = e^{-\alpha(t-s)}U(t, s)$. Clearly $\{V(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$ is an evolution family, and $\|V(t, s)\| \leq M(s)$. For any $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(u, t_0, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |t - t_0| < \delta &\Rightarrow M(t) \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| < \varepsilon/3, \\ t_0 \leq t < t_0 + \delta &\Rightarrow M(t) \|V(t, t_0)u(t_0) - u(t_0)\| < \varepsilon/3, \\ t_0 - \delta < t \leq t_0 &\Rightarrow M(t_0) \|V(t_0, t)u(t_0) - u(t_0)\| < \varepsilon/3, \\ t_0 - \delta < t \leq \tau < t_0 + \delta &\Rightarrow \|V(\tau, t)u(t_0) - u(t_0)\| < \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $t \geq 0$ with $|t - t_0| < \delta$. We treat cases $t \geq t_0$ and $t < t_0$ separately. If $t \geq t_0$, then for any $\tau \geq t$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(\tau, t)u(t)\| &\leq \|V(\tau, t)(u(t) - u(t_0))\| + \|V(\tau, t)u(t_0) - V(\tau, t_0)u(t_0)\| \\ &\quad + \|V(\tau, t_0)u(t_0)\| \\ &\leq \|V(\tau, t)\| \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| + \|V(\tau, t)\| \|u(t_0) - V(t, t_0)u(t_0)\| \\ &\quad + \|V(\tau, t_0)u(t_0)\| \\ &\leq M(t) \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| + M(t) \|u(t_0) - V(t, t_0)u(t_0)\| + \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u) \\ &< 2\varepsilon/3 + \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking the supremum with respect to $\tau \geq t$ we get

$$\varphi_\alpha(t, u) - \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u) < \varepsilon.$$

Now, if $t < t_0$, then for every $\tau \geq t_0$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(\tau, t)u(t)\| &\leq \|V(\tau, t)\| \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| + \|V(\tau, t_0)\| \|V(t_0, t)u(t_0) - u(t_0)\| \\ &\quad + \|V(\tau, t_0)u(t_0)\| \\ &\leq M(t) \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| + M(t_0) \|V(t_0, t)u(t_0) - u(t_0)\| + \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u) \\ &< 2\varepsilon/3 + \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u). \end{aligned}$$

One the other hand, for $\tau \in [t, t_0]$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(\tau, t)u(t)\| &\leq M(t) \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| + \|V(\tau, t)u(t_0) - u(t_0)\| + \|u(t_0)\| \\ &< 2\varepsilon/3 + \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|V(\tau, t)u(t)\| < 2\varepsilon/3 + \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u), \text{ for all } \tau \geq t.$$

This implies $\varphi_\alpha(t, u) - \varphi_\alpha(t_0, u) < \varepsilon$. Proceeding as before, one can prove that $\varphi_\alpha(t_0, u) - \varphi_\alpha(t, u) < \varepsilon$. Thus, the function $t \mapsto \varphi_\alpha(t, u)$ is continuous at t_0 and this completes the proof. $\square$

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ we set

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \left\{ u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X) : \sup_{t \geq 0} \varphi_\alpha(t, u) < \infty \right\}.$$

It is easy to show that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_\alpha = \sup_{t \geq 0} \varphi_\alpha(t, u).$$

From inequality (3) we deduce inclusions $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \subset \mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

Remark 2.5. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\beta \geq \alpha$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{C}_\beta$.

Example 2.6. For the evolution family $U(t, s) = e^{f(t)-f(s)} \text{Id}$, $t \geq s \geq 0$, where $f(t) = -2t + t \sin^2 t$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) = [-1, \infty) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{-1} \text{ for every } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Let us notice that

$$f(t) - f(s) = -(t - s) - t \cos^2 t + s \cos^2 s \leq s - (t - s),$$

hence $-1 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$. Remark 2.5 yields $[-1, \infty) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we claim that $-1 - \varepsilon \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$. Indeed, if we consider

$$E_\varepsilon(t, s) = f(t) - f(s) + (1 + \varepsilon)(t - s), \quad t \geq s \geq 0,$$

then $E_\varepsilon(t, s) = -t \cos^2 t + s \cos^2 s + \varepsilon(t - s)$. Since $E_\varepsilon(n\pi + \pi/2, \pi/2) = n\pi\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $-1 - \varepsilon \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ and thus $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) = [-1, \infty)$. For the second statement, according to Remark 2.5 it suffices to prove the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{C}_{-1}$, if $\alpha \geq -1$. For $\alpha \geq -1$ and $t \geq s \geq 0$ let us consider

$$E_\alpha(t, s) = f(t) - f(s) - \alpha(t - s) = -(\alpha + 1)(t - s) - t \cos^2 t + s \cos^2 s.$$

For any fixed $t \geq 0$ we set $f_\alpha(t) = \sup_{\tau \geq t} E_\alpha(\tau, t) < \infty$. Since $E_{-1}(\tau, t) \leq t \cos^2 t$ for all $\tau \geq t$, and $E_{-1}(n\pi + \pi/2, t) = t \cos^2 t$ for sufficiently large n , we deduce that $f_{-1}(t) = t \cos^2 t$. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{-1}(t, u) &= \sup_{\tau \geq t} e^{\tau-t} e^{f(\tau)-f(t)} \|u(t)\| = e^{f_{-1}(t)} \|u(t)\| \\ &= e^{f_{-1}(t)-f_\alpha(t)} \varphi_\alpha(t, u) \\ &= e^{t \cos^2 t - f_\alpha(t)} \varphi_\alpha(t, u) \\ &\leq e^{(\alpha+1)(\tau_t-t)} \varphi_\alpha(t, u) \\ &\leq e^{(\alpha+1)\pi} \|u\|_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_t \in [t, t + \pi)$ is such that $\cos^2(\tau_t) = 0$. This proves that $u \in \mathcal{C}_{-1}$ and thus $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{-1}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U}) = [-1, \infty)$. $\square$

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of nonuniform exponential stability of evolution families.

For any fixed $t \geq 0$ and $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ we define a map $\Phi(t)u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ by

$$(\Phi(t)u)(\tau) = \begin{cases} U(\tau, t)u(t), & \tau \geq t, \\ u(\tau), & \tau < t. \end{cases}$$

Obviously $\Phi(t)u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, thus the operator $\Phi(t) : C(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is well-defined. Moreover, we have

$$\varphi_\alpha(t, \Phi(t)u) = \varphi_\alpha(t, u), \quad (4)$$

and

$$\Phi(t)\Phi(s) = \Phi(s)\Phi(t) = \Phi(s), \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, let $t \geq s \geq 0$ and $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. For $\tau \geq t$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(t)(\Phi(s)u))(\tau) &= U(\tau, t)(\Phi(s)u)(t) = U(\tau, t)U(t, s)u(s) \\ &= U(\tau, s)u(s) = (\Phi(s)u)(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau < t$, it directly follows that $(\Phi(t)(\Phi(s)u))(\tau) = (\Phi(s)u)(\tau)$. The above identities imply $\Phi(t)\Phi(s) = \Phi(s)$. The second identity in (5) can be obtained following the same type of computations as before.

Setting $t = s$ in (5), we get $\Phi^2(t) = \Phi(t)$, that is $\Phi(t)$ is a projection on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$. For each $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\varphi_\alpha(t, \Phi(s)u) \leq e^{\alpha(t-\xi)} \varphi_\alpha(\xi, \Phi(s)u), \quad t \geq \xi \geq s \geq 0.$$

Proof. Indeed, for $t \geq \xi \geq s \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(t, \Phi(s)u) &= \sup_{\tau \geq t} e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} \|U(\tau, t)(\Phi(s)u)(t)\| \\ &= e^{\alpha(t-\xi)} \sup_{\tau \geq t} e^{-\alpha(\tau-\xi)} \|U(\tau, s)u(s)\| \\ &\leq e^{\alpha(t-\xi)} \sup_{\tau \geq \xi} e^{-\alpha(\tau-\xi)} \|U(\tau, \xi)(\Phi(s)u)(\xi)\| \\ &= e^{\alpha(t-\xi)} \varphi_\alpha(\xi, \Phi(s)u). \end{aligned}$$

□

We present below some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of nonuniform exponential stability, specific to nonuniform behavior.

Theorem 3.2. *The evolution family $\mathcal{U}$ is nonuniform exponentially stable if and only if there exists $\alpha < 0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$ one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\Phi(t)\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{C}_\alpha$;
- (ii) $\Phi(t)\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$;
- (iii) $\Phi(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$;
- (iv) $\Phi(t)$ is a bounded projection on $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$.

Proof. Since $\Phi(t)$ is a projection on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, it is obvious that (iv) is equivalent to (iii). Implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are trivial. Assume that (i) holds for some $\alpha < 0$ and for all $t \geq 0$. Choose $s \geq 0$ and $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$, and pick $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ such that $u(s) = x$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \|U(t, s)x\| &= e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \|U(t, s)(\Phi(s)u)(s)\| \leq \varphi_\alpha(s, \Phi(s)u) \\ &\leq \|\Phi(s)u\|_\alpha =: M(\alpha, s, x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq s$. Uniform boundedness principle implies that there exists $M(\alpha, s) > 0$ such that

$$e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \|U(t, s)\| \leq M(\alpha, s), \quad \text{for all } t \geq s,$$

which proves that $\mathcal{U}$ is α -nonuniform exponentially stable. Assume now that $\mathcal{U}$ is nonuniform exponentially stable, that is there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$ with $\alpha < 0$. Let $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. For every $\xi \in [0, t]$ one gets

$$\varphi_\alpha(\xi, \Phi(t)u) = \sup_{\tau \geq \xi} e^{-\alpha(\tau-\xi)} \|U(\tau, \xi)u(\xi)\| = \varphi_\alpha(\xi, u) \leq \|u\|_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, for every $\xi \geq t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha(\xi, \Phi(t)u) &= \sup_{\tau \geq \xi} e^{-\alpha(\tau-\xi)} \|U(\tau, t)u(t)\| \\ &\leq e^{-\alpha(t-\xi)} \sup_{\tau \geq t} e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} \|U(\tau, t)u(t)\| \\ &\leq e^{-\alpha t} \varphi_\alpha(t, u) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \|u\|_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

The above estimations imply $\|\Phi(t)u\|_\alpha \leq e^{-\alpha t} \|u\|_\alpha$. Thus, $\Phi(t)$ is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$, which proves (iii). This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $p \in (0, \infty)$. If the evolution family $\mathcal{U}$ is α -nonuniform exponentially stable, then there exists $K > 0$ such that*

$$\int_t^\infty \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, u) d\xi \leq K \varphi_\alpha^p(t, u), \quad u \in \Phi(t)\mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Let $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \Phi(t)\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. According to Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.1 and Eq. (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^\infty \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, u) d\xi &= \int_t^\infty \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, \Phi(t)u) d\xi \leq \int_t^\infty e^{p\alpha(\xi-t)} \varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(t)u) d\xi \\ &= 1/(-p\alpha) \varphi_\alpha^p(t, u),\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $p \in (0, \infty)$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is α -nonuniform exponentially stable, then there exist $W : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $K > 0$ such that*

$$W(t_0, u) \leq K \varphi_\alpha^p(t_0, u), \quad (7)$$

and

$$W(t, u) + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, u) d\xi = W(t_0, u), \quad (8)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $u \in \Phi(t_0)\mathcal{C}_\alpha$.

Proof. Set $W(t, u) = \int_t^\infty \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, \Phi(t)u) d\xi$, for $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Inequality (7) is a simple consequence of Proposition 3.3, and Eq. (8) follows from (5). $\square$

The following theorem can be considered a generalization of a theorem of Datko [7] for nonuniform exponential stability.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$. If there exist $p, K > 0$ such that (6) holds, then the evolution family $\mathcal{U}$ is nonuniform exponentially stable.*

Proof. It suffices to assume that $\alpha \geq 0$. For convenience of the reader we divide the proof of the theorem into several steps.

Step 1. We show that there exists a constant $N > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \leq N \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

The arguments in this step closely follow a classical idea of Ichikawa (see Theorem 3.1 in [18]). At least for a better understanding, we prefer exposing all the details.

Let $t \geq s \geq 0$. If $t \geq s + 1$, then using Lemma 3.1, (6) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) &= \int_{t-1}^t \varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) d\xi \leq \int_{t-1}^t e^{p\alpha(t-\xi)} \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, \Phi(s)u) d\xi \\ &\leq e^{p\alpha} \int_s^\infty \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, \Phi(s)u) d\xi \leq e^{p\alpha} K \varphi_\alpha^p(s, \Phi(s)u) = e^{p\alpha} K \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $s \leq t < s + 1$, then Lemma 3.1 and Eq. (4) yield

$$\varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \leq e^{p\alpha(t-s)} \varphi_\alpha^p(s, \Phi(s)u) \leq e^{p\alpha} \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u).$$

Setting now $N = \max\{e^{p\alpha}K, e^{p\alpha}\}$, clearly (9) holds.

Step 2. We show that for all non-negative integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \frac{(t-s)^n}{n!N^n} \leq N \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

We will prove (10) by induction on n . *Step 1* shows that it works for $n = 0$. Assume that (10) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and $t \geq s \geq 0$. According to (10), for every $\xi \in [s, t]$ we have

$$\varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(\xi)u) \frac{(t-\xi)^n}{n!N^n} \leq N \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, u).$$

Substituting above u by $\Phi(s)u$, and using (5) one has

$$\varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \frac{(t-\xi)^n}{n!N^n} \leq N \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, \Phi(s)u). \quad (11)$$

Now using (11), (6) and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \frac{(t-s)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!N^{n+1}} &= \frac{1}{N} \int_s^t \varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \frac{(t-\xi)^n}{n!N^n} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_s^t \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, \Phi(s)u) d\xi \leq K \varphi_\alpha^p(s, \Phi(s)u) \\ &= K \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u) \leq N \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u), \end{aligned}$$

that is estimation (10) holds for $n + 1$. By induction argument it follows that it holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3. For any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $\tilde{N} > 0$ such that

$$\|U(t, s)u(s)\| \leq \tilde{N} e^{-\frac{\delta}{pN}(t-s)} \varphi_\alpha(s, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, $t \geq s \geq 0$ and pick $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Multiplying inequality (10) by δ^n and summing over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one gets

$$\varphi_\alpha^p(t, \Phi(s)u) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\frac{\delta(t-s)}{N} \right]^n \leq N \varphi_\alpha^p(s, u) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta^n,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\varphi_\alpha(t, \Phi(s)u) \leq \left(\frac{N}{1-\delta} \right)^{1/p} e^{-\frac{\delta}{pN}(t-s)} \varphi_\alpha(s, u).$$

Since $\|U(t, s)u(s)\| \leq \varphi_\alpha(t, \Phi(s)u)$, we deduce that (12) works with $\tilde{N} = \left(\frac{N}{1-\delta} \right)^{1/p}$.

Step 4. For any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$, the following holds:

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq \tilde{N} M(s) e^{-\frac{\delta}{pN}(t-s)}, \quad t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Picking $x \in X$, let us define the following map

$$u_x(t) = \frac{1}{M(t)} \cdot x, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Estimation (3) readily implies $\varphi_\alpha(t, u_x) \leq \|x\|$, thus $u_x \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and from *Step 3* the proof is now complete. $\square$

Remark 3.6. From the above arguments we deduce that the condition $u \in \Phi(t)\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ can be easily replaced by $u \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is an arbitrary Banach space of continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$, containing the constant ones. The case when $\mathcal{F}$ is the space of the constant functions (identified with X) was proved in [18], but using a quite different method. Moreover, we emphasize that our results cannot be deduced or proved anyway using this source.

Our next result generalizes the conclusion from Theorem 3.3 in [18].

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{U})$. If there exist $W : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p, K > 0$ such that (7)–(8) hold, then $\mathcal{U}$ is nonuniform exponentially stable.*

Proof. According to (7) and (8), since $W(t, u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, we get

$$\int_{t_0}^t \varphi_\alpha^p(\xi, u) d\xi = W(t_0, u) - W(t, u) \leq W(t_0, u) \leq K \varphi_\alpha^p(t_0, u),$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $u \in \Phi(t_0)\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Letting above $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using Theorem 3.5, we conclude that $\mathcal{U}$ is nonuniform exponentially stable. $\square$

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.J.G. Bento, N. Lupa, M. Megan, and C.M. Silva, Integral conditions for nonuniform μ -dichotomy, arXiv:1405.2946 [math.DS]
- [2] L. Barreira, C. Valls, Stability of Nonautonomous Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1926, Springer (2008)
- [3] L. Barreira, C. Valls, Admissibility for nonuniform exponential contractions, J. Differ. Equations 249, 2889–2904 (2010)
- [4] C. Buge, On nonuniform exponential stability of evolutionary process, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 52, 395–406 (1994)
- [5] C. Chicone, Yu. Latushkin, Evolution semigroups in dynamical systems and differential equations, Math. Surveys Monogr. 70, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. (1999)
- [6] R. Datko, Extending a theorem of A.M. Liapunov to Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 32, 610–616 (1972)
- [7] R. Datko, Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary processes in a Banach space, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 3, 428–445 (1972)
- [8] P.V. Hai, A generalization for theorems of Datko and Barbashin type, J. Funct. Spaces 2015, Article ID 517348, 5 pages (2015)
- [9] A. Ichikawa, Equivalence of L_p stability and exponential stability for a class of nonlinear semigroups, Nonlinear Anal. 8, 805–815 (1984)
- [10] N. Lupa, M. Megan, Exponential dichotomies of evolution operators in Banach spaces, Monatsh. Math. 174, 265–284 (2014)
- [11] N. Lupa, M. Megan, and I.-L. Popa, On weak exponential stability of evolution operators in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 73, 2445–2450 (2010)
- [12] N. Lupa, L.H. Popescu, Admissible Banach function spaces for linear dynamics with nonuniform behavior on the half-line, arXiv:1606.05159 [math.DS]

- [13] R. Nagel, G. Nickel, Wellposedness for nonautonomous abstract Cauchy problems, *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 50, 279–293 (2002)
- [14] A. Pazy, On the applicability of Lyapunov’s theorem in Hilbert space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 3, 291–294 (1972)
- [15] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 44, Springer (1983)
- [16] P. Preda, M. Megan, Exponential dichotomy of evolutionary processes in Banach spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 35, 312–323 (1985)
- [17] C. Preda, P. Preda, and F. Băţăran, An extension of a theorem of R. Datko to the case of (non)uniform exponential stability of linear skew-product semiflows, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 425, 1148–1154 (2015)
- [18] C. Preda, P. Preda, and A. Craciunescu, A version of a theorem of R. Datko for nonuniform exponential contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 385, 572–581 (2012)
- [19] B. Sasu, Integral conditions for exponential dichotomy: A nonlinear approach, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 134, 235–246 (2010)
- [20] B. Sasu, A.L. Sasu, Nonlinear criteria for the existence of the exponential trichotomy in infinite dimensional spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74, 5097–5110 (2011)

N. LUPA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POLITEHNICA UNIVERSITY OF TIMIŞOARA, VICTORIEI SQUARE 2, 300006 TIMIŞOARA, ROMANIA

E-mail address: nicolae.lupa@upt.ro

L. H. POPESCU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA, UNIVERSITĂŢII ST. 1, 410087 ORADEA, ROMANIA

E-mail address: lpopescu2002@yahoo.com